

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,265	03/01/2004	Richard S. Belliveau		3515	
75	90 04/04/2	5	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mr. Walter J. Tencza Jr.			SEVER, ANDREW T		
Suite 3 10 Station Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Metuchen, NJ 08840			2851		

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/790,265	BELLIVEAU, RICHARD S.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew T. Sever	2851			
David of 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	, •					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 _:	,			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	esecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
		armior. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.			
		·				
Attachment						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nem Application (FTO-132)			
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					
PTOL-326 (Re	ev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050329			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,265

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Wherein the processor modifies contrast in response to a contrast command and the processor is a component of one of the plurality of image projection lighting devices.

Species II: Wherein the processor modifies contrast in response to a contrast command and the processor is a component of the central controller.

Species III: Wherein the processor modifies black level in response to a black level command and the processor is a component of the central controller.

Species IV: Wherein the processor modifies black level in response to a black level command and the processor is a component of one of the plurality of image projection lighting devices.

Art Unit: 2851

Species V: Wherein the processor modifies black level in response to a contrast command and the processor is a component of one of the plurality of image projection lighting devices.

Species VI: Wherein the processor modifies black level in response to a contrast command and the processor is a component of the central controller.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/790,265 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Sever whose telephone number is 571-272-2128. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JUDY NGUYEN

AS